



PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of

Daniel KOPF et al.

Group Art Unit: 2828

Application No.: 10/578,508

Examiner: Y. ZHANG

Filed: September 26, 2006

Docket No.: 117891

For: HIGHLY REPETITIVE LASER SYSTEM HAVING A COMPACT DESIGN

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In reply to the June 9, 2010 Office Action, the period for reply being extended by the attached Petition for Extension of Time and in consideration of the attached letter of Dr. Paschotta representing Dr. Paschotta's affirmative Declaration Under 37 C.F.R. §1.312, reconsideration of the rejections is respectfully requested in light of the following remarks.

Claims 1-17 are pending in this application. The Office Action, in paragraphs 24 and 25, indicates that claim 13 recites allowable subject matter. Applicants appreciate this indication of allowability but respectfully submit that all of claims 1-12 and 14-17 are also allowable for the reasons set forth below.

The Office Action, in paragraph 4, rejects claims 1-5, 12 and 16 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,212,698 to Kafka et al. (hereinafter "Kafka"). The Office Action, in paragraph 14, rejects claims 6-8 and 14 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Kafka in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,150,630 to Perry et al. (hereinafter "Perry"). The Office Action, in paragraph 18, rejects claims 9, 10, 15 and 17